

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,200	STAROSELSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas J. Theisen	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Douglas J. Theisen.

(2) David Wisz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7, 9, 14, 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Changes to the wording of claims 7, 14, and 17 were discussed to more clearly indicate that these claims are method claims.
Changes needed in claims 7 and 9 were discussed in order to clear up some antecedent basis problems.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DUANE SMITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)